



A Reply to Ulrich Wegner

Author(s): Peter Cooke

Source: *Ethnomusicology*, Vol. 38, No. 3 (Autumn, 1994), pp. 475-479

Published by: University of Illinois Press on behalf of Society for Ethnomusicology

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/852112>

Accessed: 04-12-2016 20:34 UTC

REFERENCES

Linked references are available on JSTOR for this article:

http://www.jstor.org/stable/852112?seq=1&cid=pdf-reference#references_tab_contents

You may need to log in to JSTOR to access the linked references.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at

<http://about.jstor.org/terms>



Society for Ethnomusicology, *University of Illinois Press* are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Ethnomusicology*

Call and Response A Reply to Ulrich Wegner

PETER COOKE

UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH

Ulrich Wegner's experiments in cognitive ethnomusicology with Baganda musicians (1993:201-42) proved fascinating reading for one who has for years interested himself in the Kiganda musical repertory and that of their neighbours in southern Uganda. But I was a little disconcerted to find my own views as expressed in an early article (Cooke 1970) misquoted. Ulrich wrote as follows: "Peter Cooke, skeptical about inherent patterns in amadinda music . . . indicated that—if they exist at all—they are more than 'incidental to the main object which is to present known songs effectively'" (1993:225). The omission of the word "no" before the "more" leads the reader to suppose that I was contradicting myself in the same sentence. Wegner has since told me that during the processing of the article the word "no" had been accidentally deleted. A first reading of his paper though was enough to send me scurrying to obtain my old contribution so as to verify what I actually did write. It was: "If it is accepted that xylophone repertoire is based on the attempt to outline songs then . . . an answer should be given to the question: does concern for inherent rhythms affect the content of xylophone parts? My view is that they are to a large extent a coincidental feature of the [compositional] process" (1970:77). On the following page I added "It is also possible that some inherent rhythms only vaguely suggest words to performers who then make minor modifications (*ebisoko*) of either part to make the pattern more prominent and better fitting to the suggested text. In both cases, however, they are incidental to the main object, which is to present known texts effectively."

I was puzzled also to read that I was "skeptical" about inherent patterns: this may have arisen from misunderstandings in his reading of the same early article and perhaps also of friendly and useful correspondence we have had with each other since then. It could well be that I was insufficiently forthcoming about the *importance* of inherent patterns as far as the Baganda

in musical cognition with Baganda musicians). The readiness with which they appear (in their musical practice) to identify individual ancillary notes, rather than perceive them simply as no more than interruptions in an auditory stream, and to use or modify them to suit their own musical (and textual) purposes, lends weight to this supposition.

Two examples provided in my 1970 article showed how musicians were in fact doing this very thing (p. 76, 78). Another example was recently pointed out to me by Andrew Cooke with reference to a performance of *Ssematimba* by the Muganda musician Albert Ssempeke (Cooke 1993). There, in fourteen out of seventy-four cycles he alters one note in the accompanying xylophone *okunaga* part to highlight an alternative text he frequently utters during the course of the song performance.

So instead of the normal xylophone notes
The melody note (2) is changed (to note 5) and as a consequence the

1 3 2 2 5 2 ② 5 2 4 1 3 4 2 4 4 2

corresponding to the singing of

ī 2̄ 2̄ 2̄ ī 3 4 4 4
Ah Sse - ma-tim - ba [ne] Ki- kwa - ban - ga

he plays and sings

1 3 2 2 5 2 ⑤ 5 2 4 1 3 4 2 4 2 4
5 5 5 4 3 4 4 4
Baa - na ba - ttu Ki- kwa - ban - ga

ancillary notes and the melody notes briefly change roles. Non-Baganda might perceive and explain the change as the temporary replacement of one auditory stream by another.

Lastly, at the risk of confusing the debate still more, I fitted the best known nuclear text for the song *Ssematimba* to Wegner's Fig. 2b (where Kubik's two inherent patterns are presented). As a result one can see that the text-carrying patterns clearly belong to, but switch between, Kubik's two inherent patterns. With such a degree of overlap it is not surprising that Wegner's informants spoke only about the text melody and seemed unconcerned with our notions of inherent patterns. Perhaps, for this reason, *Ssematimba* was not a particularly good choice as a test piece for the experiments in cognition. Below I reproduce Wegner's Fig. 2b but beginning from a different point in the cycle. I have added texts and link up the notes that carry the text syllables. (The first group of pitches numbered 1 in the melody part are sung in the higher octave.) Disjunct intervals (shown with a double link), which, according to auditory stream theory should inhibit

perception of the text-based patterns, perhaps do not do so because they closely parallel tonal features of the text.

Fig. 2b (from Kubik/Wegner) with one of the principal nuclear texts for “Ssematimba ne Kikwabanga” added. Linking lines show how the melody uses both of the auditory streams but switches between them. Asterisks show where the song’s clap pulse lies.

* * * * * *
 ①...① ④ ⑤...② ③...③ ⑤...② ①...② ⑤ ②...② ① ④ ④ ②
 ...④ ④ ① ① ④ ③ ① ② ③ ④ ③ ② ② ⑤ ④ ③ ② ④...

A-ba-si-b'em-bu-zi	ba-si-bi-ra bwe-ree-re	Ah Ssem-a-tim-ba	Ki-kwa-ban-ga
4 1 1 1 5	2 3 3 3 3 \ 5 \ 2	1 2 2 2 1	3 4 4 4
Those who keep goats keep them in vain Ah! Ssematimba [and] Kikwabanga.			

Recent correspondence with Ulrich Wegner (17.12.93) makes it clear that he was also aware of the correspondence. “I noticed for example that my informants often started in with their shadow singing where streams formed part of the the melody.” But perhaps he does not consider that the progression 411115 could constitute an auditory stream (see his remark concerning muko transposition in his footnote 40). It may appear that note 5 is disjunct in relationship to 1, but one has to remember that in the *amadinda* tradition when a third part—the *okukoonera*—is also played, notes 5 and 1 are adjacent in two of the three octaves. This could well be the very reason why Baganda evolved *okukoonera*: it extends the melodic range into the third octave and allows one more easily to hear such streams as this, which straddle the boundaries between playing areas.

While welcoming Ulrich Wegner’s venture into the field of cognitive ethnomusicology as another valuable approach towards an understanding of Kiganda xylophone playing, perhaps we also should admit that our fascination with the xylophone repertory has meant that xylophone music has too frequently been studied in isolation from the study of the vocal versions (the main burden of my 1970 article) and also from that of other Kiganda instruments and related musical traditions. In some other Ganda ensembles, for example, the flute and lyre band and the *abalere ba Kabaka* (the Kabaka’s flute band) one finds a considerable degree of heterophony occurring as one or more musicians prolong either the melody pitch or the ancillary pitch yet appear to draw on the same tone bank used in playing the xylophones (much of the song repertory is common to the different ensembles). In 1970 I pointed out that expert players of the Kiganda *ndere* (flute) would at times perform the same streams of notes as can be heard on

the amadinda. I did not also add then, what I only partly perceived at that time, that they readily prolonged ancillary pitches also.

Recent study of ensemble performances by Basoga musicians (close neighbours geographically, linguistically and culturally of the Baganda) today using a very mixed instrumentarium (xylophone, panpipes, fiddle, flute, lamellaphone, and other percussion instruments) shows them also to be extracting a number of different melodic patterns simultaneously from a tone bank (like that played on the amadinda) but which at a deeper level appears to consist of a double bank of notes (Cooke, in preparation). Wegner's final quote from Wright and Bregman could not be more apt.

References

- Wegner, Ulrich. 1993. "Cognitive Aspects of *amadindaa* Xylophone Music from Buganda." *Ethnomusicology* 37(2):201–41.
- Cooke, Peter R. 1970. "Ganda xylophone Music: Another approach." *African Music* 4(4):6–80, 95.
- . 1990. *Play Amadinda: Xylophone Music from Uganda. A Manual for Playing Traditional Xylophone Songs of the Ganda People Together with Instructions for Making a Simple Uganda Type Xylophone and a Cassette of Examples*. Edinburgh: K & C Productions.
- . 1993. *Ssempeke! Traditional Music From Uganda*. Cassette KAC 1003 Edinburgh: K & C Productions.
- . Forthcoming. "Orchestral Melorhythm in Southern Uganda."